# THE EVALUATION OF TOXICITY IN ORNAMENTAL PLANTS – ELEMENT IN ECOLOGICAL LANDSCAPE DESIGN

# EVALUAREA TOXICITĂȚII PLANTELOR ORNAMENTALE – ELEMENT ÎN PROIECTAREA ECOLOGICĂ

MIRCEA (ARSENE) Cristina Cerasela<sup>1</sup>, DRAGHIA Lucia<sup>1</sup>

e-mail: cris\_arsene@yahoo.com

Abstract. Ornamental plants can create allergenic, irritative and toxic diseases. The knowledge of potentially toxic plants is a public health issue. This paper aims to study the unwanted effects of ornamental plants on health, correlated with the presence of these plants in parks and gardens. The main research methods used were: bibliographical study, analysis and synthesis of data, collection and processing of statistical and legislative data, case-centered analysis. The information was provided by scientific literature. The analysis units were green urban spaces in Iasi. The paper centralizes the potentially pathogenic effects of ornamental plants, exemplifying with herbaceous and ligneous vegetation present in Romanian flora. Urban landscapes in Iasi were evaluated from an ambient health perspective. In the structure of landscape designs it is recommended to avoid the use of highly allergenic and toxic species. It is necessary for different designing programmes to consider the impact on health.

Keywords: proiectare, biosecuritate, plante toxice

Rezumat. Plantele ornamentale pot produce afecțiuni alergenice, iritative și toxice. Cunoașterea plantelor cu potențial toxic constituie o problemă de sănătate publică. Scopul lucrării este de a studia efectele nedorite ale plantelor ornamentale asupra sănătății, corelat cu prezența acestor plante în compoziții peisagere. Principalele metode de cercetare abordate au fost: studiul bibliografic, analiza și sinteza datelor, colectarea și analiza datelor statistice și legislative, analiza centrată pe caz. Informațiile au fost furnizate de literatura de specialitate. Unitățile de analiză au fost reprezentate de spații verzi din Iași. Lucrarea centralizează efectele potențial patogene ale plantelor ornamentale, exemplificând cu vegetație erbacee și lemnoasă prezentă în flora României. Amenajări urbane din Iași sunt evaluate din punct de vedere al sănătății ambientale. În compoziția spațiilor verzi se recomandă evitarea utilizării speciilor de mare alergenitate și toxicitate. Este necesar ca diferitele programe de proiectare să ia în considerare impactul asupra sănătății.

Cuvinte cheie: landscape design, biosecurity, toxic plants

### INTRODUCTION

Wellbeing is a delicate balance between healthy human processes (psychological, physical, spiritual) and healthy environments (landscapes, weather, build environment, social circumstances). Carefully designed garden

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm l}$  University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Iași, Romania

environments can help maintain the balance necessary for the healthy life (Johnson and Johnson, 2012).

Vegetation is an important component used in landscape design that blends beauty with environmental benefits. However, most plants are toxic to a degree and planning a garden with only zero-risk plants would probably be impossible. Plant toxicity must be kept in perspective. Ornamental plants can act as hazardous when eaten, touched or inhaled. Basically, plants cause harm through some mechanisms: irritation (mechanical or chemical), toxicity and allergenicity. Sometimes, different mechanisms can act together (Crosby, 2004).

This paper aims to overview common ornamental shrubs and trees from Romania with hazardous potential. Urban landscapes in Iasi were evaluated from an ambient health perspective and potential dangerous plants were emphasized.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

This paper synthesized commonly hazardous outdoor plants, used and popular in Romania. Their risk potential to human health was discussed. Vegetal structure of landscape from lasi was evaluated from a health perspective. The research methods used were bibliographical study, literature review and synthesis, collection and processing of data, comparative analysis. The biological material considered included representative trees, shrubs, climbers and some herbaceous ornamentals.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Outdoor plants could be dangerous in special circumstances related to the plant, way of exposure and potential victim (Crosby, 2004; Piirilia, 1994). Usually, undesired effects are registered by accident. Testing on humans solely for hazard identification purposes is generally not acceptable.

Hazardous plant parts or plant constituents represent effective defence against predatory animals or an expression of environmental adaptation. Plants present protective structures which could be harmful for humans and animals. These structures are classified by botanists in four classes: thorns, spines, prickles and epidermal hairs. Chemical compounds from secondary metabolism act as chemical protection. These active principles belong to different chemical classes and are species related. Their role in plant life is complex and incompletely known (Capon, 2010).

Morphological structures (Capon, 2010) and chemical compounds (Ciulei *et al.*, 1993) which can be harmful for humans and animals are tabulated (tab. 1). The basic effects on human health are mentioned.

Poisonings and deaths from ornamental outdoor plants are rare. However, as Johnson (2006) emphasized, it is better to know which plants are potentially dangerous. Small children are often at risk from coloured berries, leaves and petals. Gardening could be a risk factor for allergic sufferers and some plants are known to produce hay fever, asthma or allergic contact dermatitis (Crosby, 2004; Esch, 2001; Huntington, 1999).

Table 1 Common outdoor plant constituents hazardous for human health

Туре	Class	Typical elicitors	Health effects
1. Morphological	thorns	Crataegus sp.	
structures	spines	llex sp.	- wounds
	prickles	Rosa sp.	- irritant urticaria
	epidermal hairs	Urtica sp.	<ul> <li>irritant dermatitis</li> </ul>
	sharp leaves	Cortaderia sp.	
2. Chemical	peptides	Ricinus communis	- systemic toxicity or target
compounds:	alkaloids	Taxus baccata	organ specific effect
	glycosides	Prunus sp.	(neuro, cardio, vascular,
	tannins	Quercus sp.	nephro, hepato,
2.1 Toxic		Robinia sp.	gastrointestinal, immune,
	isoprenoides	Chrysanthemum sp.	respiratory, blood,
	phenols &	Salix sp.	reproductive);
	derivates		- carcinogenic
	quinones	Betula pendula	
	lactones	Tulipa sp.	
		Chrysanthemum sp.	- skin sensitization (allergio
2.2 Sensitizers	urushioids	Ginkgo biloba	contact dermatitis/
	essential oils	Rosa damascena;	urticaria);
		Lavandula angustifolia;	- eye damage;
		Juniperus virginiana	- respiratory sensitization
	resins	Thuya plicata	(airborne allergens)
	acetylenic alcohols	Hedera helix	
	esters	Daphne sp.	- skin and mucosal
2.3 Irritants	organosulfur-	Brassica sp.	damage;
	compounds		<ul><li>irritant urticaria/</li></ul>
	calcium oxalates	Parthenocissus sp.	dermatitis;
			<ul> <li>eye irritation/ eye</li> </ul>
			damage
3. Pollen grains	trees	Acer sp., Betula sp.	
		Platanus sp.	<ul> <li>respiratory</li> </ul>
	shrubs	Buddleja sp.	allergy (asthma,
		Ligustrum sp.	hay fever) in
	grasses	Cortaderia selloana	sensitised people
	herbaceous plants	Amaranthus sp.	

Male trees and shrubs of some species (*Fraxinus, Acer, Ilex*) produce large amounts of pollen. It is recommended to avoid them (Quyang et al, 2007). Hazardous common ornamental plants from Romania are listed in table 2.

# Hazardous common ornamental plants

Hazardous	5.4.4.4.4	
class	Potential risk	Typical species
Trees	toxic (ingested)	Ailanthus altissima, Betula pendula,
		Thuya sp., Salix sp.
	toxic and dermal sensitizer	Acer sp., Fraxinus sp., Quercus sp.,
		Juglans sp., Populus sp., Platanus sp.
	allergenic through pollen	Aesculus sp., Tilia tomentosa, Prunus
	(inhaled)	sp., Robinia pseudoacacia, Laburnum
		sp.
Shrubs	toxic (ingested)	Berberis sp., Taxus baccata, Cytisus
		scoparius, Cotoneaster sp.,
		Juniperus sp., Ilex sp., Ligustrum
	sensitizer (dermal/eye	vulgare, Viscum album, Sambucus sp. Thuya plicata, Juniperus sp.,
	contact)	Cornus sp., Hydrangea macrophylla,
	Contact)	Ligustrum vulgare, Rhus sp., Ceanothus
		sp.
	allergenic through pollen	Ligustrum vulgare, Syringa vulgaris,
	(inhaled)	Buddleya davidii
	fragrances (inhaled)	Rosa sp., Lavandula angustifolia,
		Philadelphus sp.
Climber	toxic and sensitizer	Hedera helix, Clematis sp.,
	(ingested/contact)	Parthenocissus sp., Wisteria sinensis,
		Lonicera japonica
	allergenic (pollen, inhaled)	Wisteria sinensis
Ornamental	allergenic (inhaled or dermal	Cortaderia selloana, Stipa gigantea,
grasses	contact)	Phalaris arundinacea, Festuca glauca
Herbaceous	toxic (ingested)	Ricinus communis, Colchicum
ornamentals		autumnale, Delphinium sp., Convallaria
		majalis, Ipomoea sp., Anemone
		nemorosa, Arum maculatum, Lupinus sp.
	sensitizers (contact/inhaled)	Chrysanthemum sp., Primula sp.
	allergenic through pollen	Amaranthus caudatus

Iasi city has approximately 912 hectars of planted green areas. Sandu, Trofin and Bernardis (2012) assess the structure of ornamental vegetation in Iasi in a 10-year study. According to the general structure's evaluation, the authors found 47% deciduous trees, 29% deciduous shrubs, 15% resin tree and 9% resin shrubs from existing ornamental plants. The dominant species for all green spaces in Iasi are listed in table 3.

According to the authors, street plantations are 99% composed of deciduous trees, especially from the following genus: *Tilia, Acer, Robinia, Quercus, Populus, Juglans*. Recreational areas have the following dominant

genus: *Acer, Quercus, Fraxinus (F. excelsior), Robinia (R. pseudoacacia), Tilia.* There are also frequently planted gymnosperm shrubs as *Taxus baccata*, which present ornamental and pollution resistance value. There is no mention about the sex of the plants registered.

Table 3

Dominant vegetal species in lasi urban landscapes (after Sandu et al., 2012)

Class	Genus	Common species	
Trees	Tilia	T. tomentosa, T. cordata, T. plathyphyllos (lime, linden,	
		basswood)	
	Acer	A. platanoides, A. pseudoplatanus (maples)	
	Quercus	Q. robur, Q. pedunculiflora, Q. petracea (oaks)	
	Populus	P. canadensis,P. alba (poplars)	
	Robinia	R. pseudoacacia (black locust)	
	Pinus	P. sylvestris (forest pine), P. nigra (black pine)	
	Thuja	T. occidentalis 'Fastigiata' (arbovitae)	
Shrubs	Thuja	T. orientalis	
	Spiraea	S. vanhouttei (honeysuckle)	
	Rosa	different species and cultivars (roses)	
	Cornus	C. sanguinea (silver carp)	
	Buxus	B. sempervirens (boxwood)	
	Syringa	S. vulgaris (lilac tree)	
	Ligustrum	L. vulgare (privet)	
	Forsythia	Forsythia sp. (forsythia)	

The analysis of vegetal structures from Iasi landscape (tab. 3) emphasised the presence of highly allergenic species (*Fraxinus excelsior, Acer* sp., *Tilia tomentosa*, *Quercus* sp., *Populus* sp., *Juglans* sp., *Ligustrum vulgare*) (tab. 2). Toxic species are also used in public spaces: *Robinia pseudoacacia, Taxus baccata* (tab. 2). Other studies are needed to correlate the vegetal structures in Iasi green spaces and the incident of allergic respiratory diseases.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Undertaking research on the criteria used to select plants for urban environment is a public health problem. Nuisance factors must be considered (including shedding bark and other morphological structures, unpleasant odours and poisonous and allergenic properties).
- 2. Urban landscape strategy must exclude plants which are dangerous for children from spaces used by them. Moreover, allergenic trees and shrubs and allergenic herbaceous ornamentals which are known to cause asthma or hay fever must be avoided. Low allergenic species are recommended.
- 3. Vegetal structure in landscape from Iasi reflects a high presence of allergenic plants. Allergenic trees are dominant.
- 4. Landscape design must be friendly, low-allergenic and low-poisonous, with a minimum of hazardous elements taken into account, so as to ensure biosecurity in human environments.

Acknowledgements: This paper was published under the frame of European Social Fund, Human Resources Development Operational Programme 2007-2013, project no. POSDRU/159/1.5/S/132

#### **REFERENCES**

- Capon B., 2011 Botany for Gardeners Third edition. Timber Press, Portland, London, 268 p
- Ciulei I., Grigorescu E., Stănescu U., 1993 Plante medicinale, fitochimie şi fitoterapie. Vol. I, II, Editura Medicală, Bucureşti, pp. 732-740
- **3. Crosby D.G.**, 2004 *The Poisoned Weed Plants toxic to skin.* Oxford University Press, London, 266 p.
- Esch R., Hartsell C., Crenshaw R., Jacobson R., 2001 Common Allergenic Pollens, Fungi, Animals and Arthropods, Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology, Humana Press Inc. vol. 21: 261-292
- **5. Huntington L**, **1999** *Creating a low-allergen garden*. Mitchell Beazley, Octopus Publishing Group Ltd., China, 127 p
- Johnson A., Johnson S., 2006 Garden plants poisonous to people. NSW DPI Primefacts, 359, pp. 1-12
- 7. Piirila P., 1994 Occupational asthma caused by decorative flowers: review and case reports. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health. 66, pp. 131-136
- Quyang Z.Y., Xin J.N., Zeng H., Meng X.S., Wang X.K., 2007 Species composition, distribution and phenological characters of pollen allergenic plants in Beijing urban area. Ying Yong Sheong Tai Xue Bao, 18(9), pp. 1953-1958
- 9. Sandu Tatiana, Trofin Alina, Bernardis R., 2012 An analysis of ornamental woody vegetation existing in lasi county's green spaces. Lucrări Ştiinţifice, Seria Horticultură, anul LV, vol. 55, nr. 2, pp. 269-274
- \*\*\* Common poisonous plants and plant parts, Earth-Kind Landscaping, Texas A&M University, <a href="http://aggie-horticulture.tamu.edu/earthkind/landscape/poisonous-plants-resources/common-poisonous-plants-and-plant-parts/">http://aggie-horticulture.tamu.edu/earthkind/landscape/poisonous-plants-resources/common-poisonous-plants-and-plant-parts/</a>
- 11. \*\*\* October 2012, *Safe and Poisonous Garden Plants*, University of California, http://ucanr.edu/sites/poisonous\_safe\_plants/
- **12.** \*\*\* *Poisonous Outdoor Plants,* Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, 2010, http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\$department/deptdocs.nsf/all/agdex13348